

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                 | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/488,926                      | 01/21/2000    | San-Qi Li            | P18913                  | 5123             |
| 75                              | 90 09/17/2003 |                      |                         |                  |
| Greenblum & Bernstein P L C     |               |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| 1941 Roland Cl<br>Reston, VA 20 |               |                      | PEZZLO                  | , JOHN           |
|                                 |               |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                                 |               |                      | 2662                    |                  |
|                                 |               |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/17/2003 | Ч                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/488,926

Applicant(s)

Li et al.

Examiner

John Pezzlo

Art Unit 2662



| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |   |                   |             |   |  |  |  |
|---|---|-------------------|-------------|---|--|--|--|
| Period for Reply  |   |                   |             |   |  |  |  |
|   | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET   | TO EXPIRE         | 3           | _ MONTH(S) FROM                                   |  |  |  |
|   | THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the          |                   |             |   |  |  |  |
| mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.   |   |                   |             |   |  |  |  |
| If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |   |                   |             |   |  |  |  |
| - Any re  | ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t  | • •               |             |   |  |  |  |
| earned<br>Status  | l patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  |                   |             |   |  |  |  |
| 1) 🗌  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |                   |             | ·   |  |  |  |
| 2a) 🗌   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This act  | tion is non-final |             |   |  |  |  |
| 3) 🗌  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. |                   |             |   |  |  |  |
| Disposi   | tion of Claims  |                   |             |   |  |  |  |
| 4) 💢  | Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>  |                   |             | is/are pending in the application.                |  |  |  |
| 4   | 1a) Of the above, claim(s)  |                   |             | is/are withdrawn from consideration.              |  |  |  |
| 5) 🗌  | Claim(s)  |                   |             | is/are allowed.                                   |  |  |  |
| 6) 💢  | Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>  |                   |             | is/are rejected.                                  |  |  |  |
| 7) 🗆  | Claim(s)  |                   |             | is/are objected to.                               |  |  |  |
| 8) 🗆  | Claims  | are               | subject     | to restriction and/or election requirement.       |  |  |  |
| Applica   | ation Papers  |                   |             | ·   |  |  |  |
| 9) 🗆  | The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |                   |             |   |  |  |  |
| 10)   | 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  |                   |             |   |  |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |                   |             |   |  |  |  |
| 11)   | The proposed drawing correction filed on  | is:               | : a)□ a     | pproved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner. |  |  |  |
|   | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply   | to this Office ac | tion.       |   |  |  |  |
| 12)   | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam  | iner.             |             |   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   |                   |             |   |  |  |  |
|   | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p  | riority under 35  | U.S.C.      | § 119(a)-(d) or (f).                              |  |  |  |
| a) L  | ☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:  |                   |             |   |  |  |  |
|   | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have  | ve been receive   | d.          |   |  |  |  |
|   | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have  | ve been receive   | d in App    | lication No                                       |  |  |  |
|   | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure   | au (PCT Rule 1    | 7.2(a)).    | _   |  |  |  |
|   | ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the  |                   |             |   |  |  |  |
| 14)∐<br>a\[   | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic   |                   |             |   |  |  |  |
| a) In translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |   |                   |             |   |  |  |  |
| Attachm   |   | priority dilati   | 0.0.        | 0. 33 .20 dilajor 121.                            |  |  |  |
| _   | otice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Su   | mmary (PTC  | 0-413) Paper No(s)                                |  |  |  |
| 2) 💢 No   | otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 5) Notice of Inf  | ormal Paten | t Application (PTO-152)                           |  |  |  |
| 3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:   |   |                   |             |   |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/488,926 Page 2

Art Unit: 2662

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claim 20 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 20 is directed to the same subject matter as claim 2.

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ormum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground

Application/Control Number: 09/488,926 Page 3

Art Unit: 2662

provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,144,639. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1 and 16 of the instant application merely broadens the scope of the claims 1, 17, and 22 of the patent by eliminating the elements and their functions of the claims. It has been held that the omission of an element and its function is an obvious expedient if the remaining elements perform the same function as before. *In re Karlson*, 136 USPQ 184 (CCPA). Also note *Ex parte Rainu*, 168 USPQ 375 (Bd. App. 1969); omission of a reference element whose function is not needed would be obvious to one skilled in the art.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

1. Awdeh et al. (US 5,754,530) discloses a flow control of ABR traffic in ATM networks.

Application/Control Number: 09/488,926

Page 4

Art Unit: 2662

2. Jain et al. (US 5,633,859) discloses a method and apparatus for congestion management in

computer networks using explicit rate indication.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to John Pezzlo whose telephone number is (703) 306-5420. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Hassan Kizou, can be reached on (703) 305-4744. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-6743.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 872-9314.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C.

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

For informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"

Hand delivered responses should be brought to:

Receptionist (Sixth floor)

Crystal Park 2

Art Unit: 2662

2121 Crystal Drive

Arlington, VA.

John Pezzlo

9 September 2003

JOHN PEZZA PRIMARY EXAMINE